

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,021	•	12/06/2004	Takahide Okuyama	57911US004	2852	
32692	32692 7590 04/03/2006			EXAMINER		
3M INNOV	ATIVE	PROPERTIES CO	SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE			
PO BOX 334	427				2.222.142.422	
ST. PAUL,	MN 551	33-3427	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1714		

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Advisory Action	10/517,021	OKUYAMA ET AL.					
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kriellion A. Sanders	1714					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
ГНЕ	•							
1. 🗀	ERPLY FILED 07 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a)	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
-	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
nave unde set fo may	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exergive 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. [The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	is of the date of e appeal. Since				
4ME	ENDMENTS	Wallin the time pened det forti in t), O, 11, 11,07 (a).					
3. 🗌	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause				
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	TE below);					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying t	the issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· -	jected claims.					
4 F	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant / infortament	,				
_	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. 🗀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below of appended.						
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
4FF	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a North sufficient reasons why the affidate	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).				
REC	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio QUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I						
13.	☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		Gulle San	eQ				
			īden ka e					

Kriellion A. Sanders Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection over the EP 0839643 in that the substrates therein are rigid.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is maintained that the present claims are obvious over Umeya for reasons of record.

Continuation of 13. Other: The claims applicant submitted on March 7, 2006 do not appear to have been amended in any manner. Since thay are duplicate claims they have not been entered. Applicant has indicated that the examiner has not presented supporting documentation or an affidavit to support the position set forth in the office action. Such documentation is not considered necessary in this instance. However applicant's attention is directed to two patent documents to Ho et al and Anderson et al. Ho et al teaches multi layer compositions useful for application to automobile parts. See col. 3, lines 40-49 and col. 5, line 13 through col. 11, line 3. Anderson et al. documents that automobile parts having coated layers of polyurethane, polycarbonate and polyacrylate are plastic and flexible materials. See page 7, paragraph 0055 through page 8 paragraph 0067.